

Daniel Wolff,  
v.  
Brian Williams, et al.,

Petitioner  
Respondents

## Order Granting Motion for Enlargement of Time

Respondents, through counsel, bring a motion for extension of time<sup>1</sup> to file their answer to the second amended petition for writ of habeas corpus<sup>2</sup> in this case. When I granted counsel's prior request for an extension, I warned that no further extensions would be permitted, absent extraordinary circumstances.<sup>3</sup> I am not convinced that counsel's proffered reasons for her current request, i.e., her workload and high turnover within the Nevada Attorney General's Office, qualify as extraordinary circumstances. I also note, however, that petitioner's counsel does not oppose the motion and the time requested (21 days) is relatively short. So I grant the motion, but I will not permit any further extensions under any circumstances.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents' motion to extend time [ECF No. 52] is **GRANTED**. Respondents have until **May 1, 2023**, to file their answer. The court will **not accept** an answer from the respondents after that date.

  
U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey

<sup>3</sup> See ECF No. 51.